

Conseil National de Transition

canal historique

<https://conseilnational.fr>

IN THE NAME OF THE FRENCH PEOPLE

October 30, 2021

The National Transitional Council (NTC) of France, original early one, hereunder presents:

1/ The translation of the LETTER which had to be sent on OCTOBER 5, 2021 by registered mail with acknowledgement of receipt, to the following 6 FRENCH MINISTERS:

- Jean CASTEX,
- Olivier VERAN,
- Gérald DARMANIN,
- Sébastien LECORNU,
- Roselyne BACHELOT,
- Bruno LEMAIRE,

with the attached SUMMONS which no less than five Court Bailiff Offices wilfully refused to serve;

2/ The translation of the SUMMONS that were actually attached to the registered mail letters sent to these 6 French Ministers on October 5, 2021, asking them to answer as if the summons had been served by a Court Bailiff, within 48 hours of delivery and to which no response has yet been received as at today's date.

A copy of all original documents and proofs of reception is available on:

<https://conseilnational.fr/sommaton-d-octobre-2021/>

Note :

The National Transitional Council (NTC) of France, original early one, exists by virtue of :

- its **Proclamation on June 18, 2015**, published as of June 21, 2015 on :
https://web.archive.org/web/20150701192211/http://www.conseilnational.fr/documents/Proclamation_A4.pdf and
<https://web.archive.org/web/20150702033151/http://www.conseilnational.fr/documents/Proclamation.pdf>
- its **Declaration to the U.N. Secretary General by registered letter dated September 29, 2015, received on October 15, 2015** published on:
<https://conseilnational.fr/historique/declaration-a-lonu-le-29-septembre-2015/>
- its **Restated Declaration to the U.N. Secretary General by registered letter dated August 26, 2021, received on September 11, 2021** published on :
<https://conseilnational.fr/historique/lettre-a-l-onu-26-aout-2021/>

1/ TRANSLATION of the LETTER sent on OCTOBER 5, 2021 by registered mail with acknowledgement of receipt, to the following 6 FRENCH MINISTERS: Jean CASTEX, Olivier VERAN, Gérald DARMANIN, Sébastien LECORNU, Roselyne BACHELOT, Bruno LEMAIRE :

Conseil National de Transition (CNT)

français canal historique (association loi 1901)

17 rue Parmentier

03500 Saint Pourçain sur Sioule

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Jean CASTEX

Hôtel Matignon

57 rue de Varenne

75007 Paris

October 5, 2021,

BY REGISTERED MAIL N° _____

Dear Sir

Please find enclosed a copy of the draft "summons to do and answer" that we have attempted in vain to have delivered to you by a Court Bailiff, because unfortunately five Court Bailiffs' offices, for reasons of their own, have believed they had to refuse to serve it.

We ask you to take note of it without delay on the attached copy or in any case on the website:

https://conseilnational.fr/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/sommation-de-faire-et-de-repondre-six-ministres_2021-1001.pdf

For your information, a copy of this letter and the attached "summons" have been sent to

- all general officers of the French armed forces
- all senators and deputies, with a request to open parliamentary investigations,
- and numerous French and foreign media, journalists and whistleblowers.

I remind you that in accordance with what remains of the constitutionality block after the disappearance of the constitution since at least 2008, namely the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen of August 26, 1789, and according to its article 15: « *Society has the right to demand an account from any public official of its administration.* »

That is why, in the name of the French People, as a minister of the presumed French government, we hereby give you formal notice :

- to take note without delay of the complete text of the attached summons,
- to answer precisely, within the time limits indicated in this summons, all the questions it contains, as if it had been served to you by Court Bailiff, and this, by writing your answer next to your name for each of the questions asked, and by personally dating and signing each of your answers,
- to do what this summons requires you to do, namely, to proceed with the organization of a press conference, without delay, under the conditions indicated and by proceeding with the statements indicated,
- and finally, to return to us without delay by registered mail with acknowledgement of receipt, this summons duly completed with your dated and signed answers.

Please accept, Sir, the assurance of our attentive regards,

p/o

French National Transitional Council (NTC) historical channel

P.J.: « summons to do and answer »

c.c: general officers of the French armed forces, deputies and senators, media and alerters

SUMMONS TO DO AND ANSWER

In the year TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE, and ON

I HAVE

(Court Bailiff undersigned)

AT THE REQUEST OF :

The association CONSEIL NATIONAL DE TRANSITION (CNT) FRANÇAIS CANAL HISTORIQUE, association law of 1901, in the course of creation, whose registered office is located at 17 rue Parmentier, 03500 Saint Pourçain sur Sioule, acting pursuant and diligences of its legal representatives,

Electing domicile in the Office of the undersigned Court Bailiff,

SAID AND DECLARED TO :

1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister, residing at the Hôtel Matignon, 57 rue de Varenne, 75007 Paris,

WHERE, BEING AND SPEAKING TO: as stated at the end of the act

2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health, residing at 15 avenue Duquesne, 75007 Paris,

WHERE, BEING AND SPEAKING TO: as stated at the end of the act

3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior, residing at Hôtel de Beauvau, 1 place Beauvau, 75008 Paris,

WHERE, BEING AND SPEAKING TO: as stated at the end of the act

4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas Affairs, residing at Hôtel de Montmorin, 27 rue Oudinot, 75007 Paris,

WHERE, BEING AND SPEAKING TO: as stated at the end of the act

5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture, residing at the Palais Royal, 3 rue de Valois, 75001 Paris,

WHERE, BEING AND SPEAKING TO: as stated at the end of the act

6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, Minister of the Economy, Finance and Recovery, residing at 139 rue de Bercy, 75012 Paris,

WHERE, BEING AND SPEAKING TO: as stated at the end of the deed

THAT:

No one is supposed to be ignorant of the law. The cause of all the ills of society is ignorance, lies, false beliefs and the resulting fear. These are the pillars of mass manipulation and mass crimes.

IN LAW:

WITHOUT PREJUDICE concerning the disappearance of the Constitution of October 4, 1958 at least since the ratification of the Treaty of Lisbon by the law of February 14, 2008, in application of Article 16 of the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen of August 26, 1789 (DDHC) according to which *"Any society in which the guarantee of rights is not ensured, nor the separation of powers determined, has no constitution"*, as explained on the website <https://conseilnational.fr/> .

The Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen of August 26, 1789 (DDHC) referred to in the preamble of the Constitution of October 4, 1958, is part of the block of constitutionality as confirmed by a decision of the Constitutional Council dated July 16, 1971.

According to article 2 of the DDHC of 1789, the fundamental rights are *"freedom, property, security and resistance to oppression"*.

Article 4 states that only the law can determine the limits of freedom.

In this regard, Article 5 states that: « ***The law only has the right to forbid actions that are harmful to society. Anything that is not prohibited by law cannot be prevented, and no one can be forced to do what the law does not order.*** »

In accordance with the Roman adage *"affirmanti incumbit probatio"*: ***"The burden of proof lies with the one who asserts the existence of a fact"***.

Moreover, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights signed at the UN on December 16, 1966 and ratified by France on November 4, 1980 (ICCPR) states in its article 7 that: « ***It is forbidden to subject a person without their free consent to medical or scientific experimentation*** ».

According to the UN Convention against Torture, adopted by a resolution dated December 10, 1984, ratified by France on February 18, 1986 and entered into force on June 26, 1987:

"the term "torture" means any act by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as (...) coercion (...) or any other reason based on discrimination of any kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity. This term does not extend to pain or suffering resulting solely from, inherent in, or occasioned by lawful sanctions."

According to Article 211-1 of the Penal Code, it constitutes **"genocide"** to:

- *"in execution of a concerted plan aimed at the total or partial destruction of a national group (...)"*
- *"to commit or cause to be committed, against members of this group, one of the following acts", in particular :*
 - ***"wilful killing"***
 - ***« serious harm to physical and psychological integrity »***
 - ***"inflicting conditions of life likely to bring about the total or partial destruction of the group" (...)***
- ***« Genocide is punishable by life imprisonment »***.

Moreover, according to article 212-1 of the penal code, "**also constitutes a crime against humanity**" :

- "and is punishable by life imprisonment for any of the following acts committed"
- "in execution of a concerted plan, against a civilian population group »
- "as part of a widespread or systematic attack" (...) :
- "(5) imprisonment or any other form of **severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental principles of international law**,
- "6° **torture**" (...)

According to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court dated July 18, 1998, ratified by France on June 9, 2000, in its article 7:

- "*by widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, and with knowledge of the attack, is meant conduct consisting of multiple commission of acts referred to (above) against any civilian population, in pursuance of or in furtherance of a policy of a State or an organization directed toward such attack*".

For this reason, article 213-4 of the penal code, states:

- that "*The perpetrator or accomplice of a crime covered by this subtitle may not be exempted from liability solely because he or she performed an act prescribed or authorized by legislative or regulatory provisions or an act ordered by legitimate authority.*"
- and that "*However, the court shall take this circumstance into account when determining the sentence and fixing the amount thereof.*"

Regarding complicity in a crime, Article 121-6 of the Penal Code provides that:

"*Will be punished as a perpetrator the accomplice of the offense, within the meaning of Article 121-7.*"

Article 121-7 of the same code only punishes **complicity by "action"**, and provides that:

« *An accomplice to a crime or misdemeanor is the person who knowingly, by aid or assistance, facilitated its preparation or consumption.*

Also an accomplice is the person who, by gift, promise, threat, order, abuse of authority or power, provokes an offence or gives instructions to commit it. »

However, by decision dated February 26, 2020, the criminal chamber of the Court of Cassation now recognizes the **complicity of an offence by "abstention"** in the case of a person to whom "*it was incumbent to use his authority (...) to put an end to*" the offence "*causing*" damage to others. cf. Cass. crim. February 26, 2020, unpublished, n°56, (19-80.641), ECLI:FR:CCAS:2020:CR00056 : https://www.courdecassation.fr/jurisprudence_2/chambre_criminelle_578/56_26_44480.html

Furthermore, concerning **information professionals**, the "IFJ Global Charter of Ethics for Journalists" adopted in Tunis on June 12, 2019 is based on major texts of international law, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, contains a Preamble and 16 articles, and specifies the rights and duties of journalists in terms of ethics. In particular, it provides that:

" 5. *The notion of urgency or immediacy in the dissemination of information shall not override **verification of facts, sources** and/or the offer of rebuttal to those implicated.*"

" 6. *The journalist will make **every effort to rectify promptly, explicitly, completely and visibly any error or information published that proves to be inaccurate.***"

" 9. *Journalists will ensure that the **dissemination** of information or opinion does not **contribute to hatred or prejudice** and will do their utmost to avoid facilitating the propagation of **discrimination** (...).*"

" 10. The journalist will consider as **serious professional misconduct** plagiarism, **distortion of facts, slander, libel, defamation, baseless accusations.** "

" 13. The journalist shall not use the freedom of the press for self-interested purposes, and shall refrain from receiving any **benefit from the dissemination or non-dissemination of information.** He/she will avoid - or put an end to - any situation that could lead to a conflict of interest in the exercise of his/her profession. He/she will avoid any confusion between his/her activity and that of an advertiser or **propagandist.** (...) "

" 15. Every **journalist worthy of the name** makes it a **duty** to strictly observe the principles set out above. (...) "

(cf. <https://www.ifj.org/fr/qui/regles-et-politique/charte-mondiale-dethique-des-journalistes.html>)

Finally, as any citizen has a duty to do, the petitioning association acts within the framework of the provisions of article 434-1 of the penal code which states: « *The fact that anyone who has knowledge of a crime whose effects can still be prevented or limited, or whose perpetrators are likely to commit new crimes that could be prevented, does not inform the judicial or administrative authorities is punishable by three years' imprisonment and a fine of 45,000 euros.* »

IN FACT,

Since March 16, 2020, Emmanuel Macron, president of the republic, declared "*we are at war*" by designating as the enemy the so-called "*virus*" called "*sras-cov-2*".

Since March 17, 2020, the French people are subject to various regulatory measures called "*anti-covid*" taken by the Prime Minister and ministers who succeeded each other until today, and which continue to be implemented by the summonsed ministers.

Since March 23, 2020, these regulatory measures have been confirmed and authorized by deputies and senators, under the terms of liberticidal laws.

Moreover, these laws, decrees and orders authorizing and implementing these so-called "*anti-covid*" measures against the civilian population have been allegedly "*validated*" with respect to the Constitution and fundamental freedoms by decisions of the Constitutional Council and the Council of State.

These so-called "*anti-covid*" measures have had the effect of severely restricting all the fundamental rights of the French people, in particular parental authority over the life and education of children, the right to privacy of personal data and family life, the right of access to health care, education, as well as the right to work, leisure, culture, entertainment, accommodation, sports practice... freedom of expression and of the press, freedom of worship, freedom of assembly, of movement, of travel, of restoration, etc. including the right to respect for the human body and the dignity of human relations and funerals.

Some ministers, members of parliament and journalists even considered imprisoning the "*non-vaccinated*" in internment camps comparable to the measures taken against the French people by the Nazi occupiers with the complicity of the Vichy government.

The deleterious effects of all these measures on the life and the psychic, physical and economic health of the population were not only obvious from the beginning in March 2020, but are even more obvious and indisputable since that date until today.

According to the terms of the regulations implementing them since March 17, 2020, these so-called "anti-covid" measures are taken and reiterated regularly, allegedly :

- because of the « *covid-19 epidemic* »,
- *"to slow down the spread of the virus"*,
- to limit travel from a territory « *facing a particularly active circulation of the epidemic or the spread of certain variants of SARS-Cov-2* »
- or by requiring a *"biological virological screening test for SARS-Cov-2"* in certain cases.

Since March 2020, the Scientific Council has produced numerous "Opinions", without however communicating the slightest scientific proof of the existence of an "epidemic", of an alleged "virus" known as "SARS-Cov-2", nor of "variants" of such a virus, nor of the fact that these would be the cause of symptoms qualified as "covid19", nor of their alleged "propagation", nor finally on the alleged sanitary effectiveness and harmlessness for the population of each of the so-called "anti-covid" measures.

HOWEVER, IN REALITY,

a certain number of known facts suggest that the reasons thus invoked in support of the so-called "anti-covid" measures are devoid of any scientific basis.

Indeed:

1/ On the alleged epidemic:

According to a statistical study conducted by Pierre Chaillot there is no excess mortality on average over the three years 2018, 2019 and 2020 compared to the three previous years, taking into account the necessary correction due to the evolution of the age pyramid. The year 2020 is the 6th least deadly year in the last twenty years. Not to mention the flu, which in 2020 has completely disappeared in favor of the so-called "covid19 cases".

cf. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=krWNaU4P1rM>

2/ On the so-called "sras-cov-2 virus":

It appears that 87 health institutions in 21 countries have acknowledged that they do not have any documents proving that the so-called "sars-cov-2" virus has been physically isolated and purified by anyone! cf. <https://cv19.fr/2021/07/28/87-institutions-sanitaires-scientifiques-du-monde-entier-nont-pas-reussi-a-citer-un-seul-cas-de-purification-du-sars-cov-2-par-qui-que-ce-soit-ou-que-ce-soit-jamais/>

Would France be the only country to have isolated, purified and physically characterized this alleged "virus"?

Furthermore, the CDC in the United States, under its *"Instructions for Use of RT-PCR Diagnostic Tests Authorized Only for Emergency Use, Regarding the "CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV)"* has repeatedly stated in successive versions of this document that they have never had the alleged physically isolated and purified "virus" available to establish and calibrate their PCR tests.

Indeed, the CDC stated in this document:

- since the version dated February 4, 2020 (page 31), that:
"Because no quantified 2019-nCoV virus isolate is currently available, assays designed to detect 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with " copies of RNA from a cell bank (GenBank) and mixed in a suspension of lung cancer cells " to mimic a clinical sample. "

See CDC Instructions for Emergency Use of PCR Tests to Detect 2019-nCoV, page 31:
<https://web.archive.org/web/20200227050956/https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download>

- and as of the July 21, 2021 version (page 40), that:
"Because no quantified 2019-nCoV virus isolate was available to CDC at the time the test was developed and this study was conducted, the tests designed to detect 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with "copies of RNA from a cell bank (GenBank) and mixed into a suspension of lung cancer cells " to mimic a clinical sample."
See CDC Instructions for Emergency Use of PCR Tests for 2019-nCoV, page 40:
<https://web.archive.org/web/20210731003632/https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download>

These facts are confirmed by the February 18, 2021 **"Statement on Virus Isolation"** by Ms. Sally Fallon Morell, and Drs. Thomas Cowan and Andrew Kaufman, who state:

- **"At no time is an actual virus confirmed by electron microscopy. At no time is a genome extracted and sequenced from a real virus. This is a scientific fraud.**
- **"The observation that the non-purified specimen -- inoculated on tissue culture with toxic antibiotics, fetal bovine tissue, amniotic fluid, and other tissues -- destroys the kidney tissue on which it is inoculated, is presented as evidence of the existence and pathogenicity of the virus. This is a scientific fraud."** (...)
- **"Finally, questioning these virological techniques and conclusions is not a matter of distraction or division. Shedding light on this truth is essential to ending this terrible fraud that humanity is facing. For, as we now know, if the virus has never been isolated, sequenced, or shown to cause disease, if the virus is imaginary, then why are we wearing masks, taking social distance, and putting the whole world in jail?"**
- **"Finally, if pathogenic viruses do not exist, then what goes into these injectable devices mistakenly called "vaccines", and what is their purpose? This is the most urgent and relevant scientific question of our time."**
- **"We are right. The SARS-CoV2 virus does not exist."**

see <https://conseilnational.fr/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/declaration-sur-l-isolation-des-virus-2021-0706.pdf>

In any case,

3/ On the scientific fraud related to the very concept of "virus" and "contagion":

The documentary **"The cult of virology, 150 years of medical fraud from Pasteur to Covid-19"** traces the history of germ theory to the techniques of modern virology.

It details **the fraudulent methods and techniques that scientists have used to create a catastrophic epidemic scenario** that has plunged the world into a massive hysteria never seen before.

See <https://cv19.fr/2021/06/02/le-culte-de-la-virologie-documentaire/>

In this respect, in his video of April 2021, Dr. Stefan Lanka unveils the first step of the control experiments intended to disprove virology concerning the alleged "isolation" of the so-called "virus", by demonstrating that without infectious tissues, cell death is observed due to the processes used and not by the fact of an alleged "virus"!

Dr. Stefan Lanka had already conducted these experiments for the so-called measles "virus" during a trial in Germany, demonstrating that there was no evidence for the existence of a so-called "virus" responsible for measles, which was enough to win the case at the time.

In this new experiment, Dr. Lanka goes one step further by adding two steps:

- using the electron microscope to demonstrate that **the particles observed are not different between infectious and non-infectious tissue**, and
- by genetically sequencing the tissue to demonstrate that **it is possible to establish a complete genome of any alleged virus using the software and methods used by virologists to construct a genome.**

see <https://cv19.fr/2021/05/13/stefan-lanka-conduit-les-experiences-temoins-refutant-la-virologie/>

In his **April 20, 2020** article titled "***The perpetrators of the coronavirus crisis are clearly identified. VIROLOGISTS who claim viruses cause disease are fraudulent scientists and should be prosecuted,***" Dr. Stefan Lanka states:

"Science and the scientific process are important tools to help identify and solve problems. Science has very clear rules: whoever makes claims must prove them in a clear, understandable and verifiable way. Only verifiable claims can be called scientific, everything else is a matter of faith. Acts of faith must not be presented as scientifically proven facts in order to influence or justify government action.

Scientific statements must be refutable in order to be claimed as scientific facts. The first written duty of every scientist is to strictly check his own statements, to try to refute them. Only if this refutation is unsuccessful and this failure is clearly documented by control experiments, can a statement be called scientific.

*All covid-related measures issued by governments and subordinate authorities are ultimately governed by law, (...) but they are only apparently legitimized by it and not justified. (...) The most important scientific rule is the documented attempt to prove the statement presented as true and scientific. **All scientific rules are preceded by respect for the laws of thought and logic.** If these are ignored or violated, the scientific statement is refuted and a control experiment is carried out accordingly.*

The meaning and choice of words in all publications on all pathogenic viruses prove that virologists have not only violated the laws of thought, logic and the binding rules of science, but have also disproved the claims about the existence of pathogenic viruses themselves. If one has removed one's hypnotic glasses and reads objectively and with discernment what the authors are doing and writing, any interested person who can read English and who has acquired knowledge of the methods used will discover that virologists (except those working with phages and phage-like viruses) misinterpret normal gene sequences as vital components and have thus disproved their entire field of expertise. This is particularly evident in the case of claims of the existence of the so-called SARS-CoV-2 virus.

Since these virologists have clearly violated the laws of thought, logic and the rules of scientific work by their statements and actions, they can be colloquially called scientific frauds. (...) From the moment a first court establishes the facts described below and convicts the first virologist of fraud, the end of the coronavirus crisis will be announced and sealed by the court and the global coronavirus crisis will be revealed as an opportunity for all."

see <https://cv19.fr/2021/03/06/les-auteurs-de-la-crise-du-coronavirus-sont-clairement-identifies-les-virologistes-qui-pretendent-que-les-virus-causent-des-maladies-sont-des-scientifiques-frauduleux-et-doivent-etre-poursuivis/>

Finally, a May 2020 scientific meta-analysis confirms :

- that it is impossible to separate "exosomes", which are vesicles generated by the cell to evacuate its waste, from the so-called "viruses", in order to study them, and
- that to date there is no reliable method to guarantee their complete separation, so that it is almost impossible to distinguish an exosome from a so-called « virus »
- stating:

"The remarkable similarity between EVs () and viruses has caused many problems in studies focused on the analysis of EVs released during viral infections. Nowadays, it is almost impossible to separate EVs and viruses using canonical vesicle isolation methods, such as differential ultracentrifugation, because they are frequently co-pelated due to their similar size [56,57]. To overcome this problem, different studies have proposed to separate EVs from virus particles by exploiting their different migration speed in a density gradient or by using the presence of specific markers that distinguish viruses from EVs [56,58,59]. However, **to date, there is no reliable method that can truly guarantee complete separation.**"*

(*) EV: Extracellular vesicles.

cf. "The Role of Extracellular Vesicles as Allies of HIV, HCV and SARS Viruses," Flavia Giannesi, et al, Viruses, 2020 May: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7291340/>

4/ On the so-called "variants":

How could there be so-called "variants" of a phantom "virus", which as we have seen, has never been physically isolated?

5/ On the alleged infection in humans by the so-called "sars-cov-2" virus:

In a study published by the CDC in June 2020, it appeared that the so-called "sars-cov-2" virus, never physically isolated, was not capable of "infecting" human cells, but only monkey kidney cells, called Vero cells, after adding two drugs known to be toxic to the kidneys.

See "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States": https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

Indeed, according to an October 15, 2020 article by Dr. Thomas Cowan commenting on this CDC article:

*"The purpose of the article was to allow a group of approximately 20 virologists to **describe the state of the science of the isolation, purification, and biological characteristics of the novel SARS-CoV-2 virus**, and to share this information with other scientists for their own research. A thorough and careful reading of this important document reveals some shocking findings."*

*"First, in the section titled 'Whole Genome Sequencing,' we find that **instead of isolating the virus and sequencing the genome end-to-end, the CDC 'designed 37 nested PCR pairs spanning the genome based on the coronavirus reference sequence (GenBank accession no. NC045512).'**"*

Dr. Cowan goes on to state that *"this computational generation step is a scientific fraud."*

He explains, *"The researchers claim that they decided on the actual SARS-CoV-2 genome by 'consensus,' much like a vote. Again, different computer programs will produce different*

versions (...) so they get together as a group and decide (what) the real imaginary (genome) is(...)."

Dr. Cowan then cites a passage from the CDC study where it is shown that the so-called "sars-cov-2 virus" was able to replicate in monkey kidney cells, known as Vero cells, but not in human cells whether adenocarcinoma, liver, or embryonic kidney cells extracted from databanks:

"We therefore examined the ability of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0) and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. (...) Each cell line was inoculated with a wide range of infections and examined 24 hours after infection. No CPEs () were observed in any of the cell lines, with the exception of Vero cells, which reached a potency greater than 10 at the 7th potency 24 hours post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection."*

(*) CPE: Cyto-pathic effect, i.e. cell killing effect, which according to the "virologists" would be the proof of the alleged presence of a "virus" that they have never physically isolated in the studied solutions.

Dr. Cowan goes on to explain the previous passage from the CDC article:

"What is shocking about the above quote is that the virologists found, using their own methods, that the solutions containing (allegedly) SARS CoV-2 - even in large amounts - were not, I repeat, were NOT infectious to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. In plain English, this means that they have proven, in their own words, that this "new coronavirus" is not infectious to humans. It is ONLY infectious to monkey kidney cells, and only when you add two potent drugs (gentamicin and amphotericin), known to be toxic to the kidneys, to the mix."

"Friends, read this again and again. These virologists, published by the CDC, have produced clear evidence, in their own words, that the SARS-CoV- 2 virus is INOFFENSIVE TO HUMANS. This is the only possible conclusion, but unfortunately, this result is not even mentioned in their conclusion. They just say that they can provide virus stocks grown only on monkey Vero cells. Thank you for coming."

"If people really understood how this "science" was done, I hope they would storm the doors and demand honesty, transparency and truth ."

cf: **"ONLY SINGLE MONKEY CELLS HAVE "CULTIVATED" THE "VIRUS" "**, by Dr. Thomas Cowan: <https://conseilnational.fr/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/cellules-de-rein-de-singe-empoisonnees-2021-0707.pdf>

6/ On the alleged "cases" of so-called "Covid contamination":

According to the government, there are "cases" of so-called "Covid contamination", the proof of which is allegedly provided by means of PCR, salivary or serological "screening tests", which have been imposed since May 2020 on the entire population to allegedly determine the speed of "propagation" of the so-called "virus".

However, first of all, it is impossible to affirm the existence of any "contamination" by an alleged "virus" in the absence of physical isolation of such "virus".

On the other hand, the PCR Test is not intended to diagnose an infectious disease because :

a) categorically, Prof. KARY MULLIS, Nobel Laureate and INVENTOR of the PCR Test, who passed away in August 2019, has always made it clear that THIS TEST IS NOT DESIGNED TO DIAGNOSE ANY DISEASE or INFECTION :

As Prof. Kary Mullis states: this test just takes a little bit of something non-visible that is **not a so-called virus but a residue of a non-active so-called virus**, amplifies it by doubling it a number of times to arrive at something **that does not prove the presence of a particular so-called virus, nor even the existence of a viral load** that could prove that the so-called virus would have **any causal link with the symptoms of the disease!**

cf: Interview with Kary Mullis, translated on: <https://fr.sott.net/article/36162-Kary-Mullis-Le-test-PCR-ne-permet-pas-de-savoir-si-vous-etes-malade>

and on https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=57YQjM5_30E&feature=youtu.be

Kary Mullis, explains why the PCR test can NOT be used to diagnose a disease or prove an infection by saying:

"WITH THE PCR TEST IF YOU DO IT RIGHT, YOU CAN FIND ALMOST ANYTHING IN ANYONE!" (Kary Mullis)

see: <https://fr.sott.net/article/36162-Kary-Mullis-Le-test-PCR-ne-permet-pas-de-savoir-si-vous-etes-malade>

Thus, the so-called "PCR test", by varying the number of cycles of amplification, makes it possible to find anything in anyone, and thus to increase or decrease the number of so-called "positive" "cases" at the discretion of the decision-makers, in order to maintain fear and be able to impose liberticidal measures.

b) The instructions for use of an RT-PCR Test Kit according to the CDC (Center of Disease Control) in the United States, confirm in successive versions of this document since February 2020 until today, that the RT-PCR test has no validity in diagnosing an allegedly "viral" infection.

The CDC states that this test does NOT prove that the disease or infection is related to the so-called Sars Cov 2 virus because:

"The results are for identification of 2019-nCoV RNA. 2019-nCoV RNA is usually detectable in upper and lower respiratory tract specimens during infection. POSITIVE RESULTS INDICATE ACTIVE INFECTION WITH 2019-NCOV, BUT DO NOT RULE OUT BACTERIAL INFECTION OR CO-INFECTION WITH OTHER VIRUSES. THE DETECTED AGENT MAY NOT BE THE PRECISE CAUSE OF THE DISEASE."

cf: <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download>

In conclusion, it has been established that **PCR tests can only be used to support the diagnosis of a symptomatology and not to screen people without symptoms.**

In any case, in addition to the uselessness of the so-called "PCR tests", as we have seen, in the absence of proof of isolation of an alleged "virus" and that the said "virus" would be the "cause" of the so-called "covid19" symptoms, **no so-called "screening" test, whether salivary, serological or other, can by definition establish the existence of a so-called "case" of "covid19" contamination.**

7/ On the cause of the deaths observed since March 2020:

It is true that people have died since March 2020, but without proof that the so-called "sras-cov-2 virus" was the cause, given the following facts that can largely explain the deaths:

- autopsies prohibited, absence of excess mortality over the last three years, the year 2020 being the sixth least deadly year over the last twenty years, authorization of Rivotril (by two decrees) in Ehpad, prohibition of consultation of general practitioners and obligation of patients to remain at home on paracetamol and without medical supervision, cancellation of planned interventions, absence of care of patients under treatment, use of Remdésivir recognized as non-effective and dangerous by the WHO on November 20, 2020, refusal to hospitalize the elderly, reduction of orders for anti-biotics (macrolides including azythromycin) preventing effective early treatment, refusal to recognize effective early treatments (hydroxichloroquine and ivermectin, zinc, vitamin C associated with anti-biotics), lack of differentiation in deaths between influenza, atypical pneumonia and pseudo "covid19 ", etc.

8/ On the absence of scientific proof of the health effectiveness of the so-called "anti-covid" measures:

To date, there is no scientific proof that each of the so-called "anti-covid" measures taken by the French government since March 2020 would have a sanitary efficacy to fight the so-called "covid19" epidemic and a harmlessness for humans.

THEREFORE :

It is up to the decision-makers, i.e. the government as a whole, who have taken the decisions collegially with the ministers who signed the texts enacting the so-called "anti-covid" measures, to prove the necessity and the sanitary effectiveness of their decisions, in accordance with the principle *"The burden of proof lies with the one who advances the existence of a fact"*.

To date, as we have seen, there is **no scientific proof** of the necessity, nor a fortiori of the sanitary efficiency nor of the harmlessness for humans of the so-called "anti-covid" measures imposed to the French since March 2020.

These measures are therefore all **medical or scientific experiments** within the meaning of article 7 of the ICCPR, and to which it is forbidden to subject a person without his **free consent**.

There can be **no free consent without free information**, given the misinformation, lies, propaganda and censorship that hide from the population the fact that, as we have seen, there is no scientific proof of the existence of an alleged virus nor of the variants of such a virus that has never been isolated under a microscope, nor of the fact that this alleged "virus" or its "variants" would be the cause of the so-called "covid "19 symptoms, nor of their alleged propagation, nor of the fact that the so-called "anti-covid" measures would have the slightest sanitary efficiency.

Thus, even the so-called "**volunteers**" who would have "chosen" to apply the so-called "anti-covid" measures such as the wearing of masks, tests, inoculations or the administration of various substances, etc., did not freely consent to these measures, since by definition, their consent was vitiated due to the lack of free information on the absence of scientific proof of the existence of an alleged virus that would be the cause of the so-called "covid "19 symptoms and of the sanitary efficacy of the said so-called "anti-covid" measures.

Therefore, as long as scientific proof of the physical existence of the alleged "virus" called "sars-cov-2" or of the sanitary effectiveness of the so-called "anti-covid" measures has not been provided, all these measures, including the inoculations of an alleged "vaccine" or the compulsory administration of any substance, are:

1. **unconstitutional and illegitimate**
2. **crimes against humanity** and,
3. **genocide**.

Indeed:

1/ All so-called "anti-covid" measures are **unconstitutional** and therefore **illegitimate** because there is no scientific evidence that the actions they prohibit citizens from taking would be allegedly "*actions harmful to society*" within the meaning of Article 5 of the DDHC of 1789.

2/ All the so-called "anti-covid" measures constitute **crimes against humanity** directly or by **complicity**, on the part of all those who order to apply them, or who apply them or who threaten to apply them, or bring their help or assistance in their application by their actions or their abstentions, **because** :

- no one can be unaware since March 2020 that all these measures are ordered by persons "*agent of the public service*" or by all the ministers of the government, "*acting in an official capacity*" (art. 1 UN Convention against Torture), and this "*as part of a widespread or systematic attack*" "*against a group of civilian population*" (art. 212-1 of the Penal Code), "*in application or in furtherance of the policy of a State... having as its aim such an attack*" (art. 7 of the Rome Statute), and prescribed "*by legislative or regulatory provisions*" (art. 213-4 of the Penal Code) ;

so that :

- all those who **order** these measures, in particular **those who have public authority or are in charge of a public service mission** (prefects, senior officials in education and health, mayors, administrative departments, professional associations, transport agents, civil servants, public forces agents) and **managers of private sector companies**, become **accomplices by provocation** to crimes committed by those who apply them or who threaten to apply them (cf. art. 121-7 of the penal code on complicity);
- all those who **apply** these measures cannot be unaware that **they do not personally have scientific proof of the necessity or sanitary effectiveness of these measures**, and that they are therefore medical or scientific experiments prohibited without the free consent of the person by international law (art. 7 of the ICCPR), and therefore commit a **serious deprivation of physical liberty** by consciously preventing citizens from exercising their fundamental rights, in violation of international law (art. 212-1 of the Penal Code) ; This includes all persons who, in public or private establishments open to the public, have by their actions denied citizens the exercise of their fundamental rights by, **physically** or by force, denying them access to places of care, education, work, or any place open to the public (leisure, restaurants, bars, means of transport, culture, hairdressing salon...)
- all those who are "content" to **threaten** citizens to deprive them of their fundamental rights under the pretext of having to apply these measures, commit **mental torture** (art. 212-1 of the penal code, and art. 1 of the UN Convention against Torture) because they cannot be

unaware of the "*acute mental suffering*" that they "*intentionally*" inflict on citizens in order to "*put pressure*" by forcing them to choose between :

- either to have to renounce the exercise of their rights (to work, to care, to education, to leisure, to transport, to eat, to breathe normally without a mask...),
 - or to submit to unacceptable measures that infringe on their physical freedom (mask, test, inoculation, temperature taking, distancing, isolation, quarantine), or on the respect of their dignity and privacy in daily life (digital tracing, QR code, naso-pharyngeal test, auto-attestation, health pass...).
- all **health professionals** (doctors, nurses, dentists, veterinarians, pharmacists, analysis laboratories...)
 - who have, because of their training and their oath, a moral and professional authority in health and scientific matters, and
 - who have been **paid** to carry out screening **tests** or to **inoculate** people with supposedly therapeutic substances called "vaccines", who supposedly "**volunteer**" for such tests or inoculations,
 - when, as we have seen above, the consent of the latter was not free,
 - are **accomplices** of **mental torture** by their **abstentions** and their **actions**, considering their training as scientists, because of their failure in their **duty to inform**, as provided by the law, and for doctors, in their **duty not to harm**, as provided by their Hypocrates oath "*primum non nocere*",
 - because they cannot be ignorant that by their acts (tests, inoculations), and by their abstention from informing, they bring **help and assistance to the implementation of the governmental policy of attacking the population**, without using their **moral and professional authority as scientists to correctly inform the citizens** of the absence of scientific proof of the necessity and the sanitary effectiveness of these measures known as "anti-covid", whereas as scientists **they must make sure of the scientific well-foundedness of their acts in order not to harm the patient**,
 - it being understood that the aforementioned health professionals, although already accomplices to crimes against humanity since March 2020, as we have seen, are informed by the reading of the present text of the criminal scientific frauds committed, and can nevertheless now seize **the opportunity to re-establish the truth in the mind of the public**, as the judge will be able to take this into account in determining their sentence and in fixing the amount, in accordance with article 213-4 of the penal code.
 - all **media professionals**, including "**journalists**" who fall under the IFJ's "Global Ethics Charter for Journalists" and « **influencers** »,
 - because of their duty to "**verify facts** (and) **sources**", and to "**promptly, explicitly, fully and visibly correct**" any "**error**" or "**inaccurate information**",
 - the fact that they have all the necessary means to do so, and
 - because of their **large audience which gives them an unrivalled moral and professional authority**,
 - are **complicit** in **crimes** against humanity through **mental torture** and/or **severe deprivation of physical freedom to exercise fundamental rights**
 - both by their **actions**, through the **dissemination** of disinformation, the promotion of so-called "anti-covid" measures, constant propaganda, and censorship, the maintenance of fear and discrimination
 - and by their **abstentions**, due to the **non-dissemination** of information, especially in the presence of information that is publicly disseminated by world-renowned scientists, which they had the duty to verify and disseminate,

- it being understood that the aforementioned information professionals, although already accomplices to crimes against humanity since March 2020, as we have seen, are informed by the reading of the present text of the criminal scientific frauds committed, and can nevertheless now seize **the opportunity to re-establish the truth in the mind of the public**, as the judge will be able to take this into account in determining their sentence and in fixing the amount, in accordance with article 213-4 of the penal code.

3/ All the so-called "anti-covid" measures constitute **genocide** directly or by **complicity**, on the part of all those who order their application, or who apply them, or provide aid or assistance in their application by their actions or abstentions, namely :

- the ministers of the current government, like their predecessors who ordered them by regulatory texts,
- the members of parliament who thought they had to authorize them by laws,
- the members of the Constitutional Council and the Council of State who validated these legislative and regulatory texts,
- the press organs and the companies dependent on the "GAFAM" which disseminated information without verifications and proceeded to censorship,
- health professionals (doctors, nurses, dentists, veterinarians, pharmacists, analysis laboratories...) who thought they had to apply these measures without verifying the existence of scientific evidence as to the necessity and sanitary effectiveness of these measures, and their harmlessness for humans,

because :

- they have implemented, or collaborated in the implementation of these measures, or given aid and assistance to their implementation by their actions or abstentions, and continue to this day,
- while being aware of having to ensure and verify that the behaviors forbidden by these measures to citizens would be allegedly "*actions harmful to society*" in accordance with article 5 of the DDHC of 1789,
- or while being aware of having to ensure and **verify** personally that there was **scientific proof** that a so-called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" "virus" or "variants" would have been physically isolated, and this, directly from samples coming from people who died of symptoms qualified as "covid19" disease,
- and thus while being aware that, by definition, it is impossible to "screen" a so-called "virus" that no one has ever physically isolated, and even less so the "variants" of such a phantom virus,
- and this, without considering applying or recommending to apply the precautionary principle concerning the deleterious effects of the sanitary measures called "anti-covid",
- while since March 2020, they cannot be ignorant of the **deleterious effects** of these measures on the population, which :
 - proceed from a "*voluntary attack on life*" by : Authorization of Rivotril (by two decrees) in Ehpad's, prohibition of consultation of general practitioners and obligation for patients to remain at home with paracetamol and without medical supervision, cancellation of planned interventions, absence of care of patients undergoing treatment, use of Remdesivir recognized as non-effective and dangerous by WHO on November 20, 2020, refusal of hospitalization of the elderly, decrease in orders of anti-biotics (macrolides including azythromycin) preventing effective early treatment, refusal to recognize effective early treatments (hydroxichloroquine and ivermectin, zinc, vitamin C associated with anti-biotics), inoculation of substances whose nature is not disclosed,

- refusal to take into account data from the ANSM, EUDRA-Vigilance and VAERS showing the number of post-vaccination side effects and deaths much higher than the average of the last twenty years of use of all vaccines,
- cause "*serious harm to physical and psychological integrity*" through propaganda, wearing masks, lockdown, PCR or other tests, maintaining fear and its consequences such as suicide or illnesses caused by stress, closing so-called "non-essential" businesses and
 - lead the population to "*submission to conditions of existence likely to lead to the total or partial destruction of the group*" by lockdown, closure of companies and businesses called "non-essential", reduction of economic activity and resources of the population by forced unemployment through lockdown, then loss of employment without compensation in case of refusal of submission ... (art. 211-1 of the penal code),
 - acting thus, "*in execution of a [governmental] concerted plan*" of fight against a supposed epidemic and a supposed "virus",
 - and therefore could not be unaware, as early as March 2020, that these measures could not have, *a priori*, any other effect or goal than "*the total or partial destruction*" of the French population, that is to say a "**genocide**" in the sense of article 211-1 of the penal code,
 - it being understood that the aforementioned ministers, members of parliament, members of high jurisdictions, information and health professionals, although already authors or accomplices of crimes against humanity, including genocide, since March 2020, as we have seen, are informed by the reading of the present text of the criminal scientific frauds committed, and can nevertheless now seize **the opportunity to re-establish the truth in the mind of the public**, because the judge will be able to take it into account in the determination of their sentence and to fix the amount of the sentence, in accordance with article 213-4 of the penal code.

THEREFORE

UPON SAME REQUEST, ENDS AND SUMMONS,
I HAVE,
above-mentioned and undersigned Court Bailiff,

SAID AND DECLARED TO :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister, as stated above,
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health, as stated above
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior, as mentioned above,
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister for Overseas Territories, as mentioned above,
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture, as mentioned above,
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, Minister of Economy, Finance and Recovery, as mentioned above

THAT :

If all the members of the government continue to this day to order the application of the so-called "anti-covid" measures initiated since March 2020 or to order new ones, and in particular the ministers who signed the texts instituting them, it is because they would have the scientific evidence :

- **that the so-called "virus" called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" or its "variants" would have been physically isolated,**
- **that they would be the cause of the symptoms described as "covid19 ", and**
- **that the so-called "anti-covid" measures would have a sanitary efficacy to fight the epidemic called "covid19" and a harmlessness for humans.**

In this case, they should therefore be able to produce such evidence AS A MATTER OF URGENCY as of the date hereof.

In the absence of presentation of such proofs or of affirmative or negative answers to the questions posed in the terms of this summons, within a period of FORTY-EIGHT (48) hours from the date hereof, the French people could legitimately wonder about the validity of the so-called "anti-covid" measures to which the government has forced them to submit since March 2020.

Indeed, considering the deleterious effects obviously undergone by the population since March 2020, and considering the doubts that one is allowed to emit in view of the explanations presented in this summons, as for the necessity and the effectiveness of the measures known as "anti-covid" and as for their harmlessness for the human being, the presentation of such proofs constitutes an ABSOLUTE URGENCY.

If, by some extraordinary circumstance, the summonsed ministers do not have such scientific evidence, it is their responsibility to inform me of this fact AS A MATTER OF URGENCY as of the date hereof.

Finally, if, by some extraordinary chance, the summonsed ministers were to indicate that they do not know whether they have such evidence, and need their administration to answer with "YES" or "NO", this would demonstrate that they have implemented or continued to implement so-called "anti-covid" liberticidal measures without any real knowledge of real facts that could justify them.

In any case, whether or not the summonsed ministers have such scientific evidence, in accordance with the precautionary principle, the application of all these so-called "anti-covid" measures must cease immediately, pending the evaluation, if any, of the evidence they would provide, by a college of independent scientists chosen by my petitioner;

SO THAT THEY DO NOT BE IGNORANT OF THE ABOVE

AND,
UPON SAME REQUEST, ENDS AND SUMMONS,
I HAVE
above-mentioned and undersigned Court Bailiff,

HEREBY SUMMONED :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister, as stated above,
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health, as mentioned above,
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior, as mentioned above,
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister for Overseas Territories, as mentioned above,
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture, as mentioned above,
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, Minister of Economy, Finance and Recovery, as mentioned above

TO HAVE TO :

1/ **take note without delay** of the declarations and information appearing in the text of the present document and on the Internet hypertext links mentioned therein,

2/ answer me AS A MATTER OF URGENCY in writing by " YES " or by " NO " to the following questions:

2. 1 - Do you have scientific evidence, i.e. at least one scientific study published in a leading scientific journal and validated by independent peers, describing in detail directly, or by reference to one or more scientific studies that you also have, the conditions and results of a scientific experiment reproducible by all, proving that the so-called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" "virus" or its "variants" would have been physically isolated, purified and characterized, and this, directly from samples coming from people who died of symptoms qualified as "covid19" disease?

TO WHICH I WAS ANSWERED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister :
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior:
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, Minister of Economy, Finance and Recovery:

2. 2 - Do you have scientific evidence, i.e. at least one scientific study published in a leading scientific journal and validated by independent peers, describing in detail directly, or by reference to one or more scientific studies that you also have, the conditions and results of a scientific experiment that can be reproduced by all, proving that the so-called "virus" called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" or its "variants", assuming that they have been duly physically isolated, purified and characterized, and this, directly from samples coming from persons who died of symptoms qualified as "covid19" disease, would be the cause of symptoms qualified as "covid19" disease and therefore of a contamination ?

TO WHICH I WAS ANSWERED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister:
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior:
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, Minister of Economy, Finance and Recovery:

2. 3 - Do you have scientific evidence, i.e. at least one scientific study published in a leading scientific journal and validated by independent peers, describing in detail directly, or by reference to one or more scientific studies that you also have, the conditions and results of a scientific experiment that can be reproduced by all, proving that each of the so-called "anti-covid" measures taken by the French government since March 2020 and listed below would have a sanitary efficacy in the fight against the so-called "covid19 " epidemic and a harmlessness for the human, namely:

- the wearing of the mask?

TO WHICH I WAS ANSWERED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister:
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:

6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- the lockdown ?

TO WHICH I WAS REPLYED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister :
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- the curfew ?

TO WHICH I WAS ANSWERED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister :
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior:
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- PCR test or other?

TO WHICH I WAS ANSWERED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister:
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- digital tracing by QR code or other?

TO WHICH I WAS REPLYED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister:
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, Minister of Economy, Finance and Recovery:

- taking the temperature ?

TO WHICH I WAS REPLYED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister:
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- social distancing?

TO WHICH I WAS REPLYED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister :
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:

- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- the barrier gestures ?

TO WHICH I WAS REPLYED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister :
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- the capacity limits in venues?

TO WHICH I WAS REPLYED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister :
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health :
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior:
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- isolation or quarantine of asymptomatic people?

TO WHICH I WAS ANSWERED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister :
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- the health or vaccination pass?

TO WHICH I WAS REPLYED TO BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister:
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior:
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, minister of economy, finance and recovery:

- mandatory inoculation or administration by any means of any substance (vaccine, genetic material, mRNA, etc...)?

TO WHICH I WAS ANSWERED BY :

- 1/ Jean CASTEX, Prime Minister :
- 2/ Olivier VERAN, Minister of Solidarity and Health:
- 3/ Gérald DARMANIN, Minister of the Interior :
- 4/ Sébastien LECORNU, Minister of Overseas France:
- 5/ Roselyne BACHELOT, Minister of Culture:
- 6/ Bruno LEMAIRE, Minister of Economy, Finance and Recovery:

3/ if the answer is " YES " to the above question number 2.1, to provide me then AS A MATTER OF URGENCY as from the date hereof, the scientific proof that the alleged "virus" known as "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" or its "variants" would have been physically isolated, and to this end :

- **to provide me with a scientific study** published in a leading scientific journal and validated by independent peers, describing in detail directly, or by reference to one or more scientific studies to be provided to me as well, if applicable, the conditions and results of a scientific experiment that can be reproduced by all, proving that a so-called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" "virus" or its "variants" would have been isolated, that is to say, according to which:
 - the so-called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" virus or its "variants" have been physically isolated, purified and characterized, **directly** from samples taken from people who have died with symptoms described as "covid "19 ,
 - the patient sample will not have been combined with any other source of genetic material, e.g., monkey kidney cells, called "Vero" cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient, bovine fetal serum, or others,
 - it being specified that the verb "to isolate" is used here, according to the definition of the Dictionary of the French Academy (9th edition) <https://www.dictionnaire-academie.fr/article/A9I2176>:
 - in the common sense of the word, namely "*To separate a thing from others; to prevent all contact between it and what surrounds it.*"
 - and in its scientific sense, namely: "*To separate, to free an element from a mixture, from a combination; to extract it from its environment (...) to identify it*".
 - and that it is therefore not a question of results of experiments in which, instead, the so-called "virus" known as "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" or its "variants" would have been "isolated" by means of :
 - a culture of something, or
 - the use of an amplification test (for example, a PCR test), or
 - sequencing of something,

so that my petitioner can have this study evaluated by a college of independent scientists selected among the scientists of her choice;

4/ if the answer is "YES" to the above question number 2.2, to provide me then AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, from the date hereof, the scientific proof that the so-called "virus" called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" or its "variants", **assuming that they have been duly physically isolated purified and characterized, and this, directly from samples coming from persons who died of symptoms qualified as "covid19" disease**, would be the cause of symptoms qualified as "covid19" disease and therefore of a "contamination", and to this effect :

- **to provide me with a scientific study** published in a leading scientific journal and validated by independent peers, describing in detail directly, or by reference to one or more scientific studies to be provided to me as well, if applicable, the conditions and results of a scientific experiment reproducible by all, proving that an alleged "virus" called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" or its "variants" would be the cause of the symptoms qualified as "covid19" disease and therefore of a "contamination", i.e. according to which:
 - the physical presence in the organism of a living being presenting the symptoms qualified as "covid19 ", of an alleged scientifically isolated "virus", called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" or its "variants" would have been proven, and
 - the inoculation of one of these so-called "viruses" into the organism of a healthy living being would cause the same symptoms described as "covid19 ", which would tend to prove a possible contamination,
 - It should be noted that these are not statistical or mathematical studies,

and this, so that my petitioner can have this study evaluated by a college of independent scientists selected among the scientists of her choice;

5/ if the answer is "YES" to any branch of the above question number 2.3, to provide me then AS A MATTER OF URGENCY as from the date hereof, with the scientific proof that each of the measures known as "anti-covid" taken by the French government since March 2020 and listed below would have a sanitary effectiveness to fight against the epidemic known as "covid19" and a harmlessness for the human, namely:

- the wearing of a mask,
- the lockdown,
- curfew,
- PCR or other tests,
- digital tracing by QR code or other,
- temperature taking,
- social distancing,
- barrier gestures,
- capacity limits at venues,
- isolation or quarantine of asymptomatic persons,
- sanitary or vaccination pass,
- inoculation or compulsory administration by any means of any substance (vaccine, genetic material, mRNA, etc...)

and for this purpose :

- **to provide me with, for each of the above measures, a scientific study** published in a leading scientific journal and independently peer reviewed, describing in detail directly, or by reference to one or more scientific studies to be provided to me also if applicable, the conditions and results of a scientific experiment reproducible by all, proving that **each of the above measures** would have such health effectiveness and safety for humans,

and this, so that my petitioner can have these studies evaluated by a college of independent scientists selected among the scientists of its choice;

6/ pending such scientific evidence :

- and pending the **validation, if any, of such evidence** by the independent experts chosen by my petitioner,
- in view of the **undeniably deleterious effects** on the physical, psychological and economic levels of all the so-called "anti-covid" measures
- in accordance with the **precautionary principle** and the principle of **freedom of prescription for medical doctors**,
- in order **not to further harm** the population physically, psychologically and economically,
- **to order the immediate cessation of the application by anyone of all so-called "anti-covid" measures**, in particular: wearing of masks, PCR tests or others, lockdown, curfew, isolation and quarantine of asymptomatic persons, temperature taking, self-attestation, health or vaccination pass, digital tracing by QR code or other, social distancing, barrier gestures, capacity limits in venues, inoculation or compulsory administration by any means of any substance whatsoever, etc..;

7/ to this end, pending such validation and in any event :

- in the event of a positive or negative response or lack of response to the three questions above, within FORTY-EIGHT (48) hours **from the date hereof**,
- to hold a **radio and television press conference** at the Hôtel Matignon or any other place of their choice capable of receiving all accredited participants, by inviting and accrediting not

only the main stream media but also the so-called alternative media and whistleblowers, whether from the national, international or regional press, written, radio, television, or social networks, having at least seven thousand (7,000) subscribers concerning the latter;

8/ TO SAY AND DECLARE in the said press conference :

- that, to their knowledge, **there is no scientific proof** of the existence of the so-called "sars-cov-2" or "sras-cov-2" "virus" or its "variants", nor of their dangerousness, nor of the sanitary effectiveness of the so-called "anti-covid" measures to fight the so-called "covid19" epidemic, nor of their harmlessness for humans,
- or, **in the case of a positive answer to one of the above-mentioned questions n°2.1, 2.2 or 2.3, that**, in order to respect the **precautionary principle**, while waiting for the validation by the independent scientific experts chosen by my petitioner of the evidence and scientific studies that they would have produced, **all the sanitary measures known as "anti-covid" and in particular those listed below, are immediately suspended:**
 - the wearing of a mask,
 - the lockdown,
 - curfew,
 - PCR or other tests,
 - digital tracing by QR code or other,
 - temperature taking,
 - social distancing,
 - barrier gestures,
 - capacity limits in venues,
 - isolation or quarantine of asymptomatic persons,
 - sanitary or vaccination pass,
 - inoculation or compulsory administration by any means of any substance whatsoever (vaccine, genetic material, mRNA, etc...)
- that it is up to scientists to do everything possible to **find the real causes of the symptoms** observed in the population since March 2020,
- that in the meantime, all French people are invited to **resume immediately a normal life**, that is to say, to reopen and frequent all businesses and all places of public life (restaurants, bars, shows, nightclubs, etc..) and to circulate and travel freely, and all this without masks, tests, temperature taking, lockdown, isolation or quarantine of asymptomatic persons, inoculation or compulsory administration of a so-called "vaccine" or any other substance whatsoever, nor any other restriction put in place to fight the so-called "covid19" epidemic, and

that **all medical doctors and caregivers immediately regain their full freedom to prescribe, practice, access their offices and patients, and their place of work;**

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

And of the whole drawn up these minutes, with all rights and privileges thereto pertaining,
DULY REGISTERED